PROPOSED VALIDATION OF PSYLLA GEOFFROY, 1762, AND SUP-PRESSION OF CHERMES LINNAEUS, 1758, UNDER THE PLENARY POWERS (INSECTA, HEMIPTERA). Z.N.(S.) 1515

## By V. F. Eastop (British Museum (Natural History), London)

For many years there has been considerable confusion between the names Psylla Geoffroy, 1762, Chermes Linnaeus, 1758, and Adelges Vallot, 1836. Family names have been derived from all three generic names and Chermes itself has been used in five different ways in four different families of Homoptera. In the following account the anglicised word "psyllids" is used for the jumping plant lice known as Psyllidae (or sometimes Chermidae); "adelgids" for the family of Aphidoidea known as Adeligidae (or sometimes Chermidae); "aphids" for Aphididae in a broad enough sense to include Eriosoma but to exclude Phylloxeridae and Adelgidae; and "coccids" for Coccoidea. The name Chermes has been used both for Coccoidea and Aphididae in addition to its better known uses for Adelgidae and Psyllidae.

2. Linnaeus (1758, Syst. Nat. (ed. 10) 1:453-455) proposed the name Chermes for fourteen species, nine of which are currently accepted as psyllids, one as an aphid and one as an adelgid. The other three have been referred to as psyllids by some authors but this has been disputed by others so that the names are usually now regarded as nomina dubia. The three species included by Linnaeus and subsequently selected as types of the genus are the psyllids Chermes alni (: 454) and Chermes ficus (: 455), now usually called Psylla alni (L.) and Homotoma ficus (L.) respectively, and the adelgid Chermes abietis now usually called Sacchiphantes or Adelges abietis (L.).

3. Geoffroy, 1762 (Hist. abrég. Ins. Paris: 482) replaced Linnaeus' generic concept of Chermes with Psylla because the name Chermes is apparently derived from an Arabic word also in use in France at that time for a coccid or its products; placed Chermes Linnaeus as a synonym of Psylla; and used Chermes to replace Coccus Linnaeus. The reason for the replacement of Chermes Linnaeus by Psylla is set out on pages 498-499 under Chermes. Psulla Geoffrov, 1762, was invalidated when Geoffrov's work was rejected for nomenclatural purposes by the International Commission on Zoological

Nomenclature in Opinion 288, 1954.

4. Scopoli (1763, Ent. Carn.: 139), Fabricius (1775, Syst. Ent.: 740; 1794, Ent. syst.: 221 and 1803, Syst. Rhynch.: 303); Goeze (1778, Ent. Beytrage: 318), Schneider (1785, Nomen. ent.: 32) followed Linnaeus in using Chermes for psyllids. Schrank (1781, Enum. Ins. Aust. Indig.: 294) and 1801 (Fauna Boica: 47, 140) also used Chermes for psyllids but his Chermes abietis is probably a psyllid and not an adelgid. The later authors such as Goeze (1778) listed Psylla Geoffrov as a synonym of Chermes Linnaeus. Vallot (1792, Concord. Syst. Reaumur: 97) used Chermes for the genus with the common name La Psylle and Kermes for the common name of the genus Coccus Linnaeus.

5. De Geer (1783, Gen. Spec. Ins.: 78) recognised the aphidoid nature of Chermes abietis Linnaeus, placing it in Aphis and restricting the name Chermes

to the psyllids he knew.

6. Olivier (1798, Ency. Meth. 1: introduction, p. 24) described Psilla (sic) Geoffroy (=Chermes Linn., Fabr.) as insects with leaping legs but listed no species. Latreille (1796, Précis. Char. gen. Ins.: 93) described Psylla Geoffroy, placed Chermes Linnaeus as a synonym and used Chermes for coccids.

7. Lamarck (1801, Syst. Anim. s. Vertèbr.: 298) cited Chermes ficus Linnaeus as an example of Psylla. This citation is not available as a type-designation but helps to explain later confusion, as it was accepted as a type citation by

some authors.

- 8. Latreille ([1802-1803], Hist. nat. gén. partic. Crust. Ins. 3: 266–267) established a genus Psylla without reference to Geoffroy, for two species, Chermes alni Linnaeus, 1758, and Chermes ficus Linnaeus, 1758, and in 1810 (Consid. gén. Crust. Arachn. Ins.: 434) designated Chermes alni Linnaeus, 1758, as the type of the genus Psylla. It is in this sense that most later authors have used the name Psylla. In 1807 (Gen. Crust. Ins. 3: 168) Latreille used the family-group name Psyllidae. Latreille, 1817 (in Cuvier, Règne Anim. 3: 409) made it clear that his Psylla is an alternative name for Chermes referring to the group as "Des Psylles (Psylla) de Geoffroi ou celui des Chermes de Linnaeus".
- 9. Fallén (1814, Spec. nov. Hemipt. Disp. Meth.: 22) used a family-group name Chermides for psyllids.
- 10. Leach, 1815 (Edinburgh Ency. 9:125) used Psylla (with Chermes as a synonym) for psyllids; in 1819, Samouelle (Entom. usef. Comp.:231) used Psyllidae and Psylla for psyllids and in 1829 (Syst. Cat. Brit. Ins.: 361) used a genus Psylla and (:362) included Chermes abietis Linnaeus in Psylla.
- 11. Boitard, 1828 (Man. d'ent.: 165) used Psylla for psyllids and a genus Kermes for coccids.
- 12. Stephens (1829, Nomencl. brit. Ins.: 67) used Psyllidae and Psylla, included abietis Linnaeus in Psylla and listed an aphid under the name Eriosoma abietis Stephens. Some later authors placed Chermes abietis Linnaeus in the aphid genus Eriosoma.
- 13. Cuvier (1832, *Règne Anim.* 2:264–267) used the Latreille concept of *Chermes* and *Psylla*. Latreille was responsible for the entomological part of earlier Cuvier publications (see no. 8 above).
  - 14. In 1834, 1835 and 1836 Curtis (Brit. Ent.: 492, 565, 625) used the

family-group name Psyllidae for psyllids.

- 15. Burmeister ([1834–1835], Handb. Ent. 2:88) used Chermes for gall-forming insects including both Chermes abietis Linnaeus and some true aphids and (on page 95) used the group name Psyllodes and genus Psylla for psyllids.
- 16. Vallot, 1836 (C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris 3:72) described a new genus and species Adelges laricis and (p. 73) a new psyllid, Psylla cofeae (sic).
- 17. Haliday, 1839 (Ann. Mag. nat. Hist. 2:190) used Adelges for the two adelgids he knew.
  - 18. Hartig, 1839 (Jahr. Forst. Forst. Nat. 1836/1837: 622) and 1841 (Zeit. f.

Ent. 3:366) used Chermes for adelgids only. This usage was followed by Kaltenbach (1843, Mon. Fam. Pflanzenläuse:193) and Koch (1857, Die Pflanzenlause, Aphiden:314). Buckton (1882, Mon. Brit. Aphid. 4:19) used a sub-family name Chermesinae for adelgids, as did Cholodkovsky (1888–1915) and Börner (1904–1913) in numerous papers on the group.

19. Westwood (1840, Introd. mod. Classif. Ins. 2:435-437) used Psyllidae and Psylla for psyllids and (:442) placed abietis Linnaeus in the aphid genus Eriosoma Samouelle, 1819 (Ent. usef. Comp.:232), and in a footnote on page 441 says, "I do not know the genus Adelges Vallot". At the end of the same work in his Synopsis Gen. Brit. Ins.:117, Westwood cited Chermes pyri Linnaeus as the type-species of Psylla. In 1843 (Arcana Entom. 2:63, footnote), Westwood used Adelges Vallot for adelgids.

20. Amyot & Serville, 1843 (Hist. nat. Ins. Hémipt.) used Psyllides for psyllides (: 590) with Psylla Geoffroy (: 591); and Chermes Geoffroy for coccids.

21. Curtis, 1844 (*Gardener's Chronicle* 1844: 83) established the genus Sacchiphantes with the adelgid Chermes abietis Linnaeus, 1758, as the type.

22. Blanchard, 1845 (Hist. Ins. 2:415) used Chermes for adelgids and Psylla for psyllids.

23. Hardy, 1850 (Ann. Mag. nat. Hist. 6:187) used Adelges abietis for this adelgid.

24. Walker, 1852 (*List. Homopt. Ins. Brit. Mus.*: 909) used Psyllidae for psyllids and *Adelges* for adelgids.

25. Passerini, 1860 (Gli Afidi: 30) selected Chermes abietis Linnaeus as the type of Chermes Linnaeus, 1758, presumably to regularize the usage of Hartig (1839) and followers (listed in 18 above).

26. Frauenfeld, 1868 (Verh. Zool.-Bot. Ges. Wien 18: 896-897) discussed the application of the name Chermes.

27. Maskell, 1884 (N.Z. J. Sci. 2: 292) and 1885 (Trans. N.Z. Inst. 17: 19) regarded Chermes and Kermes as the same name and used Kermes for coccids erecting a new name for adelgids, Kermaphis. He only included one species Kermaphis pini Koch var. laevis which apparently comes in the genus Pineus and Kermaphis Maskell, 1884, is thus a synonym of Pineus Shimer, 1869.

28. Lichtenstein, 1885 (Mon. Aphid.: 162) used Chermesiens as a group name to include both adelgids and those species of true aphids he knew which held the wings flat on the back in repose, but he used the generic name Adelges Vallot for these insects, rejecting Chermes Linnaeus, 1758, on the grounds that Linnaeus described Chermes as jumping insects.

29. Kirkaldy, 1904 (Entom. Lond. 37:255) accepted Lamarck's 1801 example (Chermes ficus Linnaeus) of Chermes Linnaeus, as being a type citation and used the genus Chermes and the family-group name Chermidae for the psyllids.

30. Van Duzee, 1917 (Cat. Hemipt. Amer. north of Mexico, *Univ. Calif. Techn. Bull. Coll. Agric.* 2:782) who was the chief proponent of the "logical school" used Chermidae in place of Psyllidae. Van Duzee believed that since Linnaeus described mainly psyllids and because his generic definition of *Chermes* applied to psyllids and excluded aphids and adelgids, the fact that Linnaeus included a species not a psyllid (which was later selected as a type-

species), should not be allowed to alter the use of the name *Chermes* from the sense in which Linnaeus used it. This argument is set out in detail by Van Duzee (1930, *Pan-Pacific Ent.* 7:96, editorial).

31. Baker, 1920 (Bull. U.S. Dept. Agric. 826:2) supported Van Duzee's conclusions with a legally acceptable argument. This was that since Geoffroy's name Psylla was only a replacement name for Chermes Linnaeus the rules applying to the type-species of replacement genera must be applied, which automatically makes the type of Psylla the type of Chermes and thus Psylla Geoffroy becomes a synonym of Chermes Linnaeus. Since Lamarck's 1801 example is not acceptable as a type citation, Latreille's 1810 type-designation of Chermes alni Linnaeus becomes the type-species of both genera. This argument is supported and set out in detail by Laing (1951, Ent. mon. Mag. 87:23-27) using the Latreille type citation.

32. Annand, 1924 (Pan-Pacific Ent. 1:79-82) accepted the psyllid use of Chermes Linnaeus and used Adelges Vallot for adelgids.

- 33. Annand, 1928 (Stan. Univ. Publn. Biol. Sci. 6:31) used a subfamily name Adelginae for the adelgids. The earlier authors had placed adelgids in various groups and by the time their aphidoid position was established the group was known as the Chermidae and usually placed as a subfamily of Phylloxeridae.
- 34. Börner, 1932 (Handb. Pflanzenkrankheiten 5(2): 674, 692) rejected Chermes as an adelgid genus, placing "Chermes Passerini nec Linnaeus" as a synonym of Sacchiphantes Curtis, 1844, and referring to the group as the Adelgiden. In 1952 Börner (Europae centralis Aphides: 203, 208) maintained this view.
- 35. Caldwell, 1944 (J. New York ent. Soc. 52: 335, footnote) stated that Chermes alni Linnaeus, 1758 (the type-species of Psylla Lattreille, [1802-1803]) was the same insect as Prociphilus tessellatus (Fitch), a true aphid. If true this would mean that the name Chermes Linnaeus would have to be used in the Aphididae for the genus Prociphilus Koch, 1857 (Die Planzenläuse Aphiden: 2). This use of the already nomenclaturally perplexing name Chermes would be particularly confusing as it would affect the subfamily (family in the case of modern authors) name of this group. Linnaeus gave four references under his species alni in 1758, one of which was to an American account of what was assumed to be this psyllid but which was subsequently shown to be the aphid Prociphilus tesselatus (Fitch). There is no evidence that Linnaeus ever saw this American material and no reason to select this particular reference as a definition of Chermes alni Linnaeus.
- 36. A detailed account of the problem of *Chermes* versus *Psylla* was given by Laing, 1951 (*Ent. mon. Mag.* 87: 23–27). He used *Chermes* Linnaeus to replace *Psylla* Geoffroy with *Psyllia* Kirkaldy, 1905 (*Wien ent. Ztg.* 24: 266) as a synonym; regarded *Chermes* Geoffroy, 1762, as a distinct but preoccupied name; recognised an adelgid genus *Sacchiphantes* Curtis, 1844, type-species *Chermes abietis* Linnaeus, 1758, with *Chermes* (L.) Passerini, 1860, as an objective synonym; and recognised an adelgid genus *Pineus* Shimer, 1869, with *Chermaphis* Maskell, 1884, as a subjective synonym.
  - 37. The generic name Chermes Linnaeus, 1758, and the derived family-

group name Chermidae Fallén, 1814, are not in current use by specialists for their own group, although some specialists apply the name to groups on which they are not working. Adelgid specialists do not recognise Passerini's type citation by which some psyllid specialists apply Chermes and Chermidae to Sacchiphantes Curtis, 1844, and Adelgidae respectively. Aphid specialists tend to accept the replacement name argument put forward by Baker, 1920 (see 31 above), whereby Chermes and Chermidae are applied to Psylla and Psyllidae respectively. Chermes Linnaeus has not been used in Coccoidea for many years but its sense is taken by Kermes Boitard, 1828. The suggested replacement of Prociphilus Koch, 1857, by Chermes Linnaeus has been (properly) ignored by specialists.

38. Chermes Linnaeus, 1758, has been used in five different ways and the

derived family name Chermidae in four different ways.

(1) Chermes L. to replace Psylla Latreille, type-species Chermes alni Linnaeus and Chermidae to replace Psyllidae.

(2) Chermes L. to replace Homotoma Guérin (type-species Chermes ficus Linnaeus) and Chermidae to replace Psyllidae and Psylla replaced by Psyllia Kirkaldy, 1905.

(3) Chermes L. to replace Sacchiphantes Curtis, 1844 (type Chermes abietis Linnaeus) and Chermidae to replace Adelgidae.

(4) Chermes L. to replace Prociphilus Koch and Cherminae (or Chermidae) to replace Eriosomatinae (or Eriosomatidae). (5) Chermes L. in Coccoidea, a usage of no nomenclatural standing but

based on the derivation of the word.

The fifth of the above alternatives is unacceptable by any sense of the word and the fourth has only been mentioned by one author other than the proposer and he (Laing, Ent. mon. Mag. 87:51) summarily dismissed it. The second alternative is not acceptable by the rules, being based on an invalid type citation. Only the first and third alternative have had any recent support. The adoption of any of these alternatives would cause considerable nomenclatural confusion including the change of an accepted family name.

39. To avoid this confusion the Internation Commission on Zoological

Nomenclature is requested to take the following action:

(1) to use its plenary powers:

(a) to validate the generic name Psylla Geoffroy, 1762, as allowed by Opinion 228, and to designate Chermes alni Linnaeus, 1758, as type-species of that genus;

(b) to suppress the generic name Chermes Linnaeus, 1758, for the purposes of the Law of Priority but not for those of the Law

of Homonymy;

(2) to place the following generic names on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology:

(a) Psylla Geoffroy, 1762 (gender: feminine), type-species, by designation under the plenary powers in (1) above, Chermes alni Linnaeus, 1758;

(b) Adelges Vallot, 1836 (gender: masculine) type-species, by mono-

typy, Adelges laricis Vallot, 1836;

(3) to place the following specific names on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology:

(a) alni Linnaeus, 1758, as published in the binomen *Chermes alni* (type-species of *Psylla* Geoffroy, 1762);

(b) laricis Vallot, 1836, as published in the binomen Adelges laricis

(type-species of Adelges Vallot, 1836);
(4) to place the following family-group names on the Official List of Family-Group Names in Zoology:

(a) PSYLLIDAE Latreille, 1807 (type-genus Psylla Geoffroy, 1762);

(b) ADELGINAE Annand, 1928 (type-genus Adelges Vallot, 1836);
(5) to place the generic name Chermes Linnaeus, 1758 (as suppressed under the plenary powers in (1)(b) above) on the Official Index of Rejected

and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology;

(6) to place the family-group name CHERMIDES Fallén, 1814 (type-genus Chermes Linnaeus, 1758) (invalid because the name of its type-genus has been suppressed under the plenary powers) on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Family-Group Names in Zoology.